Branched-chain Sugars. XVII. Stereoselectivity in the Oxidation of Several Methyl 4,6-O-Benzylidene-2-C- or -3-C-methylene- α - and - β -D-hexopyranosides with m-Chloroperbenzoic Acid¹⁾

Juji Yoshimura,* Ken-ichi Sato, and Masuo Funabashi

Laboratory of Chemistry for Natural Products, Faculty of Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology,

Nagatsuta, Midoriku, Yokohama 227

(Received January 31, 1979)

TLC.

In previous papers reports were given on the stereoselectivity examined in the reaction of methyl 4,6-Obenzylidene- α - and - β -D-hexopyranosid-2-uloses and -3-uloses with nucleophiles.²⁻⁵⁾ It was found that in the reaction of diazomethane stereoselectivity is mainly controlled by the electrostatic attractive interaction between neighboring axial oxygens and diazomethyl cation in the zwitterionic intermediates.4,5) The interaction sometimes resulted in a complemental stereoselectivity of diazomethane reaction to that of usual nucleophiles such as hydride anions and carbanions, valuable for a stereospecific synthesis of a proper branched-chain sugar. 6) However, the diazomethane reaction of uloses is sometimes accompanied by ringexpansion reaction, even when the stereoselectivity is the desired one.⁷⁻⁹⁾ For such cases, it was found that the peroxy acid oxidation of the corresponding methylene derivative provides a reliable pathway to obtain the spiro epoxide which is also afforded by the diazomethane reaction of the ulose. 10,11)

We have examined the stereoselectivity in the peroxy acid oxidation of several 2- or 3-methylen- α - and β -D-hexopyranosides in comparison with that of the diazomethane reaction of the corresponding uloses.

Results and Discussion

As the substrates, methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-2-C-methylene- α -D-ribo-hexopyranoside (**1b**), its 3-epimer (**2b**), β -anomer of **1b**(**3b**) and **2b**(**4b**), methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-O-methyl-3-C-methylene- α -D-arabino- (**5b**) $-\beta$ -D-ribo-hexopyranosides (**6b**) were

synthesized from the corresponding uloses¹²) and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide by the usual method. In the case of **3b**, the corresponding ulose (**3a**)¹³) was synthesized by the dimethyl sulfoxide–trifluoroacetic anhydride oxidation¹²) of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-altropyranoside (**7**) obtained by the preferential ring-opening of the corresponding 2,3-epoxide of D-manno configuration with methanol. The 2,3-epoxide was synthesized by an improved method.¹⁴) m-Chloroperbenzoic acid oxidation of methylene derivatives was carried out in dry 1,2-dichloroethane at 60 °C, until the starting material disappeared on

Oxidation of 1b exclusively gave methyl 2,2'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-hydroxymethyl-3-O-methyl-α-Daltropyranoside (8) in 82% yield, the product being identical with that obtained by the diazomethane reaction of the corresponding ulose (1a).5) Similarly, oxidation of 2b exclusively gave the corresponding spiro epoxide (9) of D-manno configuration in 86% yield, the main product in the reaction of diazomethane with 2a.5) Oxidation of 3b gave an epimeric mixture of the corresponding spiro epoxides (10) and (11) in 79% yield. Separation of the mixture on preparative TLC gave pure 10 and 11 in the ratio 2:1. In order to determine the configuration of these epimers, they were reduced with lithium aluminum hydride to give the corresponding 2-C-methyl derivatives (12 and 13), subsequent acetolysis afforded the corresponding tetra-O-acetates (14 and 15), respectively. By comparison of these α,β -acetates with those obtained from methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene -2-C-methyl -3-O-methyl $-\alpha$ -D-altroand -allopyranoside,5) the configuration of the main products (10, 12, and 14) was determined to be Daltro (H₁ protons of **14** appeared at δ 6.41 and 6.22), and that of the minor product D-allo (15; δ 6.37 and

Oxidation of **4b** also gave an epimeric mixture of the corresponding spiro epoxides (**16** and **17**) in 84% yield in the ratio 1.2:1. The configuration of the main product was determined to be D-gluco by comparison with that obtained by the diazomethane reaction of **4a**.⁵⁾ Similarly the oxidation of **5b** and **6b** gave an epimeric mixture of the corresponding spiro epoxides (**18** and **19**, and **20** and **21**), respectively. The configurations of these compounds were also determined by comparison with those obtained by the diazomethane reaction of **5a** and **6a**.⁴⁾ The results are summarized

Table 1. Comparison of stereoselectivity in the peroxy acid oxidation of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-or -3-methylene- α - and - β -d-hexopyranosides and in the diazomethane reaction of the corresponding uloses

Yields (%) of spiro epoxides in the reaction of uloses with diazomethane^{a)} (a series) and that of methylene derivatives with *m*-chlorosubstrates perbenzoic acid (b series)

		Axial attack product	Equatorial attack product
1	a b	82.0 (8)	83.5 (8)
2	a b	31.1 86.0 (9)	63.7 (9)
3	a b	21.8 (11) 52.7 (10)	65.3 (10) 26.3 (11)
4	a b	92.5 (16) 38.2 (17)	45.2 (16)
5	a b	39.5 (19)	41.0 (19) b) 47.5 (18)
6	a b	17.6 (20) 42.0 (21)	76.5 (21) 30.0 (20)

a) The results are cited from Refs. 4 and 5, except those of **3a**. b) The ring-expansion product of **19** was obtained in 36.9% yield.

in Table 1.

The reaction of 3a and diazomethane in ethanol was carried out in order to confirm our hypothesis on stereoselectivity. Separation of the products (87% yield) on preparative TLC gave 10 and 11 in the ratio 3:1. Since 4a exclusively gave an axial attack product in the diazomethane reaction, 4) the predominant equatorial attack also supports our hypothesis, in which the electrostatic attractive force of axial oxygen at C-3 position is stronger than that of lone pair electron of ring oxygen at β -position of the carbonyl group.

By considering both steric (non-bonded interactions) and electrostatic (attractive or repulsive) factors (Table 1), the stereoselectivity in the diazomethane reaction of uloses could be explained by the electrostatic attractive interaction between neighboring axial oxygen atom and diazomethyl cation in the transition state.

Peroxy acid oxidation is known to involve an electrophilic attack of the reagent from the less hindered side of the alkene to give the less hindered epoxide, through a highly ordered transition state. ^{15,16})

In the m-chloroperbenzoic acid oxidation of rigid methylenecyclohexane systems, Carlson and Behn showed that the axial attack percentage¹⁷⁾ is 59-69% due to stronger steric hindrance of axial hydrogen on α -carbon than that of β -carbon in the transition state. 18) From the results obtained here, the axial attack percentage in the case of 4b (46%) and 6b (58%) can be considered as standards for 2-methylene and 3-methylene pyranosides, respectively, since they have no axial substiuents. The lower percentage of axial attack in the case of 4b than that of cyclohexane systems suggests "the product development control" 18) of C2-O dipole bisecting C1-O1 and C1-O5 torsional angle in axial attack epoxide formation of β -anomers. The higher percentage in the case of **3b** (68%) than that of 4b is estimated as the steric factor of the axial 3-methoxyl group. The predominant axial attack in the case of α -anomer of 2-methylene derivatives (1b) and 2b) is attributed to the strong steric factor of the axial methoxyl group at C-1 position, as is known in the reduction of 2-uloses with hydride anions.^{5,19)}

The lower percentage in the case of **5b** (45%) than that of **6b** should be attributed to the balance of steric factors between axial 1- and 2-methoxyl groups. However, the hindrance of the former seems to be larger than the latter, since the percentage of methyl 4,6-0-benzylidene-2-deoxy-3-methylene-α-D-erythro-hexopyranoside having no 2-methoxyl group is 25%.¹¹)

Experimental

General Methods. All melting points were determined on a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure at a bath temperature not exceeding 50 °C. Optical rotations were measured in a 0.2 dm tube with a Carl Zeiss LEP-Al polarimeter in chloroform unless otherwise stated. IR spectra were recorded with a Hitachi Model EPI-G2 spectrometer, and NMR spectra with a JNM-PS-100 spectrometer in deuteriochloroform containing tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Chemical shifts and coupling constants were recorded in δ and Hz units, and IR frequencies in cm⁻¹.

Methyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-ribo-hexopyranosid-2ulose (3a). Mesylation of methyl 4,6-0-benzylidene-3-O-benzoyl-β-D-glucopyranoside in pyridine with methanesulfonyl chloride gave the concesponding 2-O-mesylate in 82% yield, which was recrystallized from ethanol-hexane. Mp 194—197 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -81.5° (c 1.1). IR: 1735 (ester); NMR: 8.20-8.00 and 7.60-7.20 (Ph: m), 5.65 (H₃: t, $J_{2,3} = J_{3,4} = 9.0$), 4.80—4.50 (H₂ and H₁: m), 4.38 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}=4.4$, $J_{6,6'}=10.2$), 3.93—3.44 (H₄, H₅, and H_{6'}: m), 3.57 (OMe), 2.99 (OMs).

Found: C, 56.75; H, 5.19; S, 6.26%. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄ O₉S: C, 56.89; H, 5.21; S, 6.90%.

A solution of the above mesylate (1 g, 2.2 mmol) and sodium (60.7 mg, 2.6 mmol) in absolute methanol (50 ml) was refluxed for 1 h until the starting material and its de-O-benzoylated product disappeared on TLC. The reaction mixture was poured into water, and then extracted with chloroform. The usual work-up of the extract gave methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-anhydro- β -D-mannopyranoside, which was recrystallized from ethanol-hexane in 83% yield. Mp 181—183 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —30.2° (c 0.7), [lit,¹³⁾ mp 182 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -30.4° (c 0.82)].

Found: C, 63.91; H, 6.22%. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O₅: C, 63.62; H, 6.10%.

A solution of the above 2,3-anhydro-mannopyranoside (500 mg) and sodium methoxide (700 mg sodium) in methanol (20 ml) was refluxed for 24 h, poured into water, and then extracted with chloroform. The chloroform layer was evaporated to give a mixture of crystals which were separated on preparative TLC (ether-hexane=1:1, Merck type 60 Kieselgel). Lower R_f product was methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside. Yield, 38%; mp 172-175 °C (ethanol-hexane); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ -69.6° (c 1.5), [lit,²⁰) mp 175—176 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{14}$ —67.3° (c 1.6)].

Found: C, 60.86; H, 7.02%. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₆: C, 60.80; H, 6.80%.

Higher $R_{\rm f}$ product was methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-Omethyl- β -D-altropyranoside (7). Yield, 41%, mp 94—96 °C (ethanol-hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —63.0° (ϵ 1.2). IR: 3536 (OH); NMR: 7.60-7.30 (Ph: m), 5.57 (PhCH: s), 4.77 (H₁: d, $J_{1,2}=1.2$), 4.38 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}=4.0$, $J_{6,6'}=7.0$), 4.15—3.67 (H₂, H₃, H₄, H₅, and H_{6'}: m), 3.60 (2×OMe), 2.66 (OH: d, $J_{2,OH}=2.0$).

Found: C, 61.10; H, 6.85%. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₆: C, 60.80; H, 6.80%. Coupling constants $(J_{1,2}=1.6, J_{2,3}=3.6)$ in the NMR spectrum of the corresponding 2-acetate support

Oxidation of the above β -D-altropyranoside with dimethyl sulfoxide-trifluoroacetic anhydride, under similar conditions to those reported, 12) afforded 3a in 87% yield, which was recrystallized from ethanol-water. Hydrate of 3a: Mp $85-90 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}-56.8^{\circ}$ (c 0.8). IR: 3500 and 3380 (OH); NMR 7.60-7.25 (Ph: m), 5.50 (PhCH: s), 4.52 (H₁: s), 4.37 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}=3.0$, $J_{5,6'}=7.8$), 4.15—3.50 (H₄, H₅, and OH: m), 3.86 ($H_{6'}$: t, $J_{6,6'}$ =7.8), 3.70 (H_{3} : d, $J_{3,4}$ =2.0), 3.67 and 3.63 $(2 \times OMe)$.

Found: C, 57.76; H, 6.60%. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₆·H₂O: C, 57.68; H, 6.46%.

Wittig Reaction of Uloses (1a-6a). A solution of butyllithium (10%; 2.9 ml, 4.5 mmol) in hexane was added dropwise with stirring to a suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (2.9 g, 5.6 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (7 ml) cooled in an ice-water bath. A solution of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl- α -D-ribo-hexopyranosid-2-ulose (1a)⁵⁾ (1 g, 3.4 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (30 ml) was then rapidly added with vigorous stirring to the resulting yellowish orange suspension. The reaction mixture was

kept at room temperature for 30 min until la disappeared on TLC. After addition of ether (70 ml) to the mixture, the precipitate was collected by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was placed on a silica-gel column (Wako-gel C-200: 30 g) followed by elution with benzene to give white crystals (437 mg, 44%), methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-2-C-methylene- α -D-ribo-hexopyranoside (1b) which were recrystallized from ether-hexane. Mp 82-84 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22} + 40.3^\circ$ (c 0.6). NMR: 7.64—7.20 (Ph: m), 5.51 (PhCH: s), 5.30 and 5.25 (exo-CH₂: each s), 4.38 (H₅: m), 4.34 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}$ =4.8), 4.07 (H₃: d, $J_{3,4}$ =3.2), 3.66 (H₆: t, $J_{5,6'} = J_{6,6'} = 11.0$), 3.65 (H₄: q, $J_{4,5} = 8.0$), 3.40 and 3.35 $(2 \times OMe)$.

Found: C, 65.82; H, 6.83%. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₅: C, 65.74; H, 6.90%.

A similar reaction of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methylα-D-arabino-hexopyranosid-2-ulose (2a) gave the corresponding 2-C-methylene derivative (2b) in 52% yield, which was recrystallized from ether-hexane. Mp 149—151 °C; [α]²²_D $+55.4^{\circ}$ (c 0.9). NMR: 7.60—7.20 (Ph:m), 5.54 (H₁: s), 5.35 and 5.17 (exo-CH₂: each q, $J_{4,CH_2}=1.0$, $J_{gem}=2.0$), 4.23 (H₅: sex), 4.26 (H₄: t, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.2$), 3.97 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}=4.0$), 3.73 ($H_{6'}$: t, $J_{5,6'}=J_{6,6'}=9.4$), 3.58 (H_{3} : dd), 3.58 and 3.38 ($2 \times OMe$).

Found: C, 65.77; H, 6.90%. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_5$: C, 65.74; H, 6.90%.

A similar reaction of 3a gave methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-2-C-methylene- β -D-ribo-hexopyranoside (3b) in 57% yield, which was recrystallized from ethanol. Mp 95— 97 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -95.5° (c 2.8). NMR: 7.60-7.20 (Ph: m), 5.52 (PhCH and H₁: superimposed s), 5.18 and 5.01 (exo-CH₂: each broad s), 4.37 (H₆: q, $J_{5.6}$ =5.0, $J_{6.6'}$ =9.8), 4.13 (H₅: m), 4.12 (H₃: d, $J_{3.4}$ =3.0), 3.73 (H_{6'}: t, $J_{5.6'}$ =9.8), 3.67 (H₄:q, $J_{4,5}$ =9.0), 3.60 and 3.36 (2×OMe).

Found: C, 65.66; H, 7.03%. Calld for C₁₆H₂₀O₅: C, 65.74; H, 6.90%.

A similar reaction of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methylβ-D-arabino-hexopyranosid-2-ulose (4a)⁵⁾ gave the corresponding 2-C-methylene derivative (4b) in 67% yield, which was recrystallized from ethanol. Mp 156—157 °C; [α]²²_D -71.2° (c 1.2). NMR: 7.60—7.25 (Ph: m), 5.56 (PhCH: s), 5.50 and 5.42 (exo-CH₂: each broad s), 4.80 (H₁: s), 4.35 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}=4.0$, $J_{6,6'}=10.0$), 4.00-3.45 (H₃, H₄, and H₅: m), 3.80 ($H_{6'}$:t, $J_{6,6'} = J_{5,6'} = 10.0$), 3.62 and 3.60 (2×OMe). Found: C, 65.49; H, 6.99%. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_5$: C, 65.74; H, 6.90%.

A similar reaction of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-O-methyl- α -D-arabino-hexopyranosid-3-ulose (5a)⁴) gave the corresponding 3-C-methylene derivative (5b) in 72% yield, which was recrystallized from ethanol. Mp 88—90 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +108.7° (c 1.1). NMR: 7.70—7.20 (Ph: m), 5.60 (PhCH: s), 5.38 and 5.14 (exo-CH₂: each t, $J_{\text{gem}} = J_{4,\text{CH}_2} = 1.6$), 4.73 (H₁: d, $J_{1,2} = 1.2$), 4.40—4.05 (H₅ and H₆: m), 4.00—3.70 (H₄ and $H_{6'}$:m), 3.75 (H_{2} : d), 3.40 and 3.32 (2×OMe).

Found: C, 66.15; H, 6.86%. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₅: C, 65.74; H, 6.90%.

A similar reaction of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-O-methyl- β -D-ribo-hexopyranosid-3-ulose (**6a**)⁴⁾ gave the corresponding 3-C-methylene derivative (6b) in 60% yield, which was recrystallized from ethanol. Mp 160—161 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —61.9° (c 1.0). NMR: 7.60—7.20 (Ph: m), 5.60 (PhCH: s), 5.27 (exo-CH₂: t, $J_{4, \text{CH}_2} = J_{\text{gem}} = 2.0$), 4.34 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6} = 5.0$), 4.23 (H₁: d, $J_{1,2} = 7.6$), 3.94 (H₄: broad d, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$), 3.78 $(H_{6'}: t, J_{5,6'}=J_{6,6'}=10.0)$, 3.58 and 3.57 (2×OMe), ca. 3.57 ($H_2: d$), 3.30 ($H_5: sex$). Found: C, 65.70; H, 7.00%. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_5: C$,

65.74; H, 6.90%.

Oxidation of exo-Methylene Compounds (1b-6b) with m-Chloro-A solution of 1b (300 mg, 1.0 mmol) perbenzoic Acid. and m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (85% purity, 406 mg, 2.0 mmol) dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (15 ml) was heated at 60 °C overnight. The mixture was washed with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide and water, and dried with magnesium The organic layer was evaporated to give crystalline 2,2'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-hydroxymethyl-3-O-methyl-α-D-altropyranoside (8) in 82% yield which was recrystallized from ethanol-hexane. Mp 108-109 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +85.0° (c 0.7). NMR spectrum was identical with that of the authentic sample.5)

A similar reaction of 2b gave methyl 2,2'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-hydroxymethyl-3-O-methyl-α-D-mannopyranoside (9) in 86% yield which was recrystallized from ethanol-hexane. All the physical constants were identical with those of the authentic sample derived from the reaction of **2a** and diazomethane. Mp 140—141 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +76.5° $(c \ 0.8).$

A similar reaction of 3b and separation of two products on preparative TLC (ether-hexane 1:1) gave the epimeric pair of spiro epoxides, methyl 2,2'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-hydroxymethyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-altropyranoside (10) and the corresponding β -D-allopyranoside (11), in 52.7 and 26.3% yields, respectively, which were recrystallized from ethanol-hexane.

10: Mp 114—115 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —81.6° (c 0.8). NMR: 7.60— 7.25 (Ph: m), 5.54 (PhCH: s), 5.00 (H_1 : s), 4.40 (H_6 : q, $J_{5,6}=4.0$), 4.10 (H₅: m), 3.95 (H₄: q, $J_{4,5}=8.6$), 3.83 (H₆: t, $J_{5,6'}=J_{6,6'}=9.0$), 3.30 (H₃: d, $J_{3,4}=2.4$), 3.56 and 3.52 (2×OMe), 3.11 and 2.78 (epoxy CH₂: ABq, J=5.3).

Found: C, 61.89; H, 6.65%. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₆: C, 62.32; H, 6.54%.

11: Mp 150—151 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —61.2° (c 0.7). NMR: 7.60— 7.25 (Ph: m), 5.50 (PhCH: s), 5.30 (H₁: s), 4.37 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6} = 5.0$), 4.16 (H₅: sex), 3.76 (H₆: t, $J_{5,6}' = J_{6,6}' = 10.0$), 3.76 (H₄: q, $J_{3,4} = 2.2$, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$), 3.45 (H₃: d), 3.62 and 3.53 (2×OMe), 3.23 and 2.57 (epoxy CH_2 : ABq, J=5.4).

Found: C, 62.21; H, 6.57%. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₆: C, 62.32; H, 6.54%. Configurations of 10 and 11 were determined by conversions into 14 and 15, respectively.

A similar reaction of 4b gave the epimeric pair of spiro epoxide, methyl 2,2'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-hydroxymethyl-3-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (16) and the corresponding β -D-mannopyranoside (17). Separation of the mixture on preparative TLC (ether-hexane 1:1) gave pure 16 in 45.2% yield, which is identical with the authentic sample obtained by the diazomethane reaction of 4a.5) However, pure 17 could not be obtained in a pure state due to its instability on TLC plate. The yield and structure of 17 were estimated form the NMR spectrum of the mixture.

17: NMR 7.60—7.25 (Ph: m), 5.63 (PhCH: s), 4.75 (H₁: s), 4.40 (H_6 : q), 3.60 and 3.52 (2×OMe), 3.06 and 2.99 (epoxy CH₂: ABq, J=5.8), H₃, H₄, H₅, and H₆, could not

A similar reaction of 5b and separation of two products on preparative TLC (ether-hexane 1:1) gave the epimeric pair of spiro epoxides, methyl 3,3'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-C-hydroxymethyl-2-O-methyl-α-D-mannopyranoside (18) and the corresponding α -D-altropyranoside (19) in 47.5 and 39.5% yields, respectively.

18: Sirup; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} + 62.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.9). NMR: 7.55—7.20 (Ph: m) 5.56 (PhCH: s), 4.76 (H₁: d, $J_{1,2}$ =1.8), 4.47—3.75 $(H_4, H_5, H_6, \text{ and } H_{6'}: m), 3.11 (H_2: d), 3.54 \text{ and } 3.37$ $(2 \times OMe)$, 3.17 and 2.70 (epoxy CH₂: ABq, J=6.0).

Found: C, 62.21; H, 6.50%. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₆: C, 62.32; H. 6.54%.

19: Mp 141—143 °C (from ether-hexane); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +75.3° (c 1.3). NMR and IR spectra were identical with those of the authentic sample⁴⁾ obtained by the diazomethane reaction of 5a.

Oxidation of 6b and separation of two products on preparative TLC (ether-hexane 1:1) gave the epimeric pair of methyl-3,3'-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-C-hydroxymethyl-2-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (20) and the corresponding β -D-allopyranoside (21) in 30.0% and 42.0% yields, respectively, which were recrystallized from ethanol-hexane.

20: Mp 150—151 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —74.2° (c 0.8). **21**: Mp 156—157 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ —62.9° (c 0.9).

NMR and IR spectra of both 20 and 21 were identical with those of the authentic samples4) obtained by the diazomethane reaction of 6a.

Reduction of 10 and 11 with Lithium Aluminium Hydride. Lithium aluminium hydride (50 mg) was added to a solution of 10 (150 mg, 0.52 mmol) in tetrahydrofurane (5 ml) and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The excess hydride was carefully decomposed with water and then filtered. The filtrate was extracted with chloroform. The extract was washed with water, dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and evaporated to give crystalline methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-methyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-altropyranoside (12) in 91% yield which was recrystallized from ethanolhexane. Mp 113—114 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -64.4° (c 1.0). IR: 3520 (OH); NMR: 7.60-7.20 (Ph: m), 5.47 (PhCH: s), 4.44 (H₁: s), 4.34 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}$ =4.0, $J_{6,6}$ '=9.0), 4.14 (H₄: q, $J_{3,4}$ =2.0, $J_{4,5}$ =10.0), 3.94 (H₅: sex), 3.77 (H₆': t, $J_{5,6}$ '=9.0), 3.47 (H₃: d), 3.56 and 3.47 (2×OMe), 2.70 (OH: broad s), 1.27 (CMe).

Found: C, 61.90; H, 7.30%. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₆: C, 61.92; H, 7.15%.

A similar reduction of 11 (150 mg) in THF (5 ml) afforded 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C-methyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-allomethyl pyranoside (13) in 89% yield wihch was recrystallized from ethanol-hexane. Mp 118—119 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ -68.0° (c 1.1). NMR: 7.60-7.20 (Ph: m), 5.44 (PhCH: s), 4.43 (H₁: s), 4.35 (H₆: q, $J_{5,6}=4.0$, $J_{6,6'}=10.4$), 4.00—3.48 (H₃, H₄, H_5 , and $H_{6'}$: m) 3.60 and 3.48 (2×OMe), 3.30 (OH: broad s), 1.27 (CMe).

Found: C, 61.82; H, 7.41%. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}O_6$: C, 61.92; H, 7.15%

Determination of the Configuration of 12 and 13. A solution of 12 (100 mg) in acetic anhydride (3 ml) containing a drop of 60% perchloric acid was heated at 60 °C for 6 h. Since acetolysis was incomplete, the reaction was repeated at room temperature for 18 h, using p-toluenesulfonic acid as a catalyst. The reaction mixture was then poured into cold sodium hydrogencarbonate solution and the resulting solution was extracted with chloroform.

The extracts were washed with water, dried, and evaporated to give a mixture of sirupy 1,2,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-2-C,3-Odimethyl- α - and - β -D-altropyranoses (14). The configuration was determined without isolation of anomers by direct comparison of the R_f value and NMR spectrum with those of the authentic sample prepared by acetolysis of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C,3-O-dimethyl-α-D-altropyranoside.⁵⁾

Compound 13 was also converted into a mixture of sirupy 1,2,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-2-C,3-O-dimethyl- α - and - β -D-allopyranoses (15), which was identical with that obtained by the acetolysis of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-C,3-O-dimethylα-D-allopyranoside.⁵⁾

14: R_f values, 0.41 and 0.36 (on DC Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60, Merck AG; benzene-acetone 8:1). NMR: 6.37 and 5.86 (H₁:each s), 3.57 (2×OMe), 2.15—2.05 (8×OAc), 1,60 and 1.54 (CMe).

15: R_f values, 0.36 and 0.31 (under the same conditions as above). NMR: 6.41 and 6.22 (H₁: each s), 3.50 and 3.40 (OMe), 2.15—2.05 (8×OAc), 1.68 and 1.56 (CMe).

Reaction of 3a with Diazomethane. To a solution of 3a (294 mg, 1.0 mmol) in ethanol (30 ml) was added dropwise a solution of diazomethane (2.0 mmol) in ether (10 ml) at 0 °C. After the mixture had been left at room temperature for 12 h, the solution was evaporated to give a sirup. Separation of two products on preparative TLC (ether: hexane=1:1) gave 10 and 11 in 65.3% and 21.8% yields, respectively. Both were respectively identical with authentic samples obtained via the peroxy acid oxidation of (3b).

The present work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education.

Refereneces

- 1) Part XVI: M. Funabashi, K. Kobayashi, and J. Yoshimura, J. Org. Chem., 44, 1618 (1979).
- 2) J. Yoshimura, K. Sato, K. Kobayashi, and C. Shin, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 46, 1515 (1973).
- 3) J. Yoshimura, K. Mikami, K. Sato, and C. Shin, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 49, 1686 (1976).
- 4) K. Sato and J. Yoshimura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 51, 2116 (1978).
- 5) K. Sato and J. Yoshimura, accepted by Carbohydr. Res.
- 6) W. G. Overend and N. R. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1965**, 3446.
- 7) R. D. King, W. G. Overend, J. Wells, and N. R. Williams, *Chem. Commun.*, 1969, 726.
 - 8) B. Flaherty, S. Nahar, and N. R. Williams, J. Cuem.

- Soc., Perkin Tarns. 1, 1973, 632.
- 9) J. Yoshimura, K. Kobayashi, K. Sato, and M. Funabashi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 45, 1806 (1972).
- 10) M. Funabashi, H. Sato, and J. Yoshimura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **49**, 788 (1976).
- 11) M. Funabashi, N.-G. Hong, H. Kodama, and J. Yoshimura, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **67**, 139 (1978).
- 12) J. Yoshimura, K. Sato, and H. Hashimoto, *Chem. Lett.*, **1977**, 1327.
- 13) **3a** was also obtained by the same oxidation of methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-allopyranoside which was obtained from 1,2,5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-3-O-methyl-α-D-allofuranose through a four step conversion. Private communication by Dr. Toshio Nakagawa, Yokohama City University.
- 14) The epoxide was prepared from methyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-O-mesyl- β -D-glucopyranoside obtained by the direct partial mesylation in 6—17 % yield by Guthrie et al. (J. Chem. Soc., C, 1970, 1961). To cover the poor stereoselectivity of the partial 2-O-mesylation, we carried out the partial 3-O-benzoylation according to the method of Collins et al. (J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1972, 2596), followed by 2-O-mesylation.
- 15) J. B. Lee and B. C. Uff, Quart. Rev., 21, 429 (1967).
- 16) D. Swern, "Organic Peroxides," ed by D. Swern, Wiley Interscience, New York (1971), Vol. 11, p. 355.
- 17) The percentage refers to that of the axial attack product in both axial and equatorial attack products.
- 18) R. C. Carlson and N. S. Behn, *J. Org. Chem.*, **32**, 1363 (1967).
- 19) M. Milikovic, M. Gligorijevic, and D. Milikovic, J. Org. Chem., **39**, 2118 (1974).
- 20) Y. Kondo, Agric. Biol. Chem., 39, 1879 (1975).